International application No.

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

PCT/IB2003/003475

Box No. 1	II Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
The ques	tions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially le have not been examined in respect of:
	the entire international application.
$\boxtimes$	claims Nos13, 17-19
becaus	ne:
$\boxtimes$	the said international application, or the said claims Nos
, S	ee supplemental sheet
·	•
	·
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nosare so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
٠.	
	the claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.
<u></u>	
$\boxtimes$	no international search report has been established for said claims Nos
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:
	the written form has not been furnished
	does not comply with the standard
	the computer readable form has not been furnished
	does not comply with the standard
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.
	see Supplemental Box for further details.

International application No.

## PCT/IB2003/003475

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

Box No.	I. Basis of the report	·
1. With other	regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless wise indicated under this item.	
	This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language, which is language of a translation furnished for the purpose of:	
	international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))	
	publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4)	
	international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)	
	·	
furnis	regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been shed to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" are not annexed to this report):	
$\bowtie$	The international application as originally filed/furnished	
	the description:	
	pages, as originally filed/furnishe	:d
	pages* received by this Authority on	-
_	pages* received by this Authority on	-
	the claims:	ļ
	pages, as originally filed/furnished	
	pages*, as amended (together with any statement) under Article	19
	pages* received by this Authority on	_
	pages* received by this Authority on	-
	the drawings:	
	pages, as originally filed/furnish	∌d
•	pages* received by this Authority on	_
	pages* received by this Authority on	
$\boxtimes$	a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.	
3.	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:	
	the description, pages	
	the claims, Nos.	
	the drawings, sheets/figs	
•	the sequence listing (specify):	
	any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):	
		· ·
4.	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).	
	the description, pages	
	the claims, Nos.	
	the drawings, sheets/figs	
	the sequence listing (specify):	
	any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):	-
* If ite	m 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."	• •

# BEST AVAILABLE COPYTY PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



# **PCT**

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 1503		FOR FURTHER AC	TION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416		
Interna	ational application No. PCT/IB2003/003475	International filing date 22 August 2003	•	Priority date (day/month/year)  22 August 2002 (22.08.2002)		
	ational Patent Classification (IPC) or n A61K 45/00, 31/519, 31/55, 31/7 471/14, 519/00	national classification and	nd IPC	1		
Applic	ant F	KYOWA HAKKO K	KOGYO CO., LT	D.		
1.	This report is the international prelin Authority under Article 35 and trans	minary examination reposition reposition to the applicant :	ort, established by this according to Article 3	is International Preliminary Examining 36.		
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of	sheets	including this cover	sheet.		
3.	This report is also accompanied by			,		
-	a. (sent to the applicant and			sheets, as follows:		
	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).  sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.					
	b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s))  1 DISKETTE , containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).					
4.	This report contains indications rela	iting to the following it.	ms:			
	Box No. I Basis of the re	eport		·		
	Box No. II Priority		*			
	Box No. III Non-establish	nment of opinion with re	gard to novelty, inve	ntive step and industrial applicability		
	Box No. IV Lack of unity	y of invention				
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicab				elty, inventive step or industrial applicability;		
	citations and course Box No. VI Certain docur	l explanations supporting uments cited	such statement			
	=	cts in the international ap	onlication			
	Box No. VIII Certain obser					
Pata				241		
Date	of submission of the demand		Date of completion			
	19 March 2004 (19.03	.2004)	. 11	August 2004 (11.08.2004)		
Nam	ne and mailing address of the IPEA/JP		Authorized officer			
	Control of the Contro		*			
Facsimile No.			Telephone No.			

Translation

International application No. PCT/IB 03/03475

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of III. 1.

The inventions set forth in claims 13 and 17-19 pertain to methods for treatment of the human body by therapy. (PCT Article 34(4)(a)(i) and PCT Rule 67.1(iv))

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/IB2003/003475

Box No. IV I	Lack of unity of invention	
1. In re	esponse to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees the applicant has:	
r	restricted the claims.	
<b>⊠</b> 1	paid additional fees.	
I	paid additional fees under protest.	
r	neither restricted nor paid additional fees.	
2. This A not to	Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose, according to Rule 68.1, invite the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees.	٠
3. This Authori	ity considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is	
compli	ied with.	ı
K	mplied with for the following reasons:	
See s	supplemental sheet	
3		
,		
·		
		Ì
4. Consequently	y, this report has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:	
5-4		
	ll parts.	
L ti	he parts relating to claims Nos.	
<del></del>		

International application No. PCT/IB 03/03475

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of: IV. 3.

The technical feature shared by claims 1-3 and 12, claims 15 and 16 as they refer to claims 1-3, and claims 20-22, is prevention or treatment of itching which includes as an active ingredient a substance which suppresses signal transduction-related functions of a protein having the amino acid sequence presented in SEQ ID NO: 11. The technical feature shared by the inventions set forth in claims 4-11 and 14 and of claims 15 and 16 as they refer to claim 10, on the other hand, is compounds represented by formula (I) as such.

There is thus no technical feature shared by these two groups of inventions that can be regarded as a special technical feature, and the two groups are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept.

It should be noted that no international search report has been prepared for the inventions set forth in claims 13 and 17-19, and for this reason they are not mentioned as inventions above.

PCT/IB 03/03475

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
 citations and explanations supporting such statement

#### 1. Statement

•		
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-12, 14-16, 20-22
	Claims	
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	4-11, 14
•	Claims	1-3, 12, 15, 16, 20-22
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-12, 14-16, 20-22
	Claims	•

#### 2. Citations and explanations

This opinion is presented with reference to documents 1 to 8 below, cited in the international search report, and documents 9 and 10, cited for the first time in this opinion.

- Document 1: WO 02/24222 A2 (The Cleveland Clinic Foundation)
- Document 2: M. H. Beers et al., "The Merck manual of diagnosis and therapy", 17th edition, 1999, ISBN 0911910-10-7, ISSN 0076-6526, pp. 786-793
- Document 3: WO 02/061087 A2 (Lifespan Biosciences, Inc.)
- Document 4: M. Heiber et al., DNA Cell Biol., 1995, 14
  (1), pp. 25-35
- Document 5: M. S. Mahadevan et al., Genomics, 1995, 30, pp. 84-88
- Document 6: EP 549352 A2 (Kyowa Hakko Kogyo Co., Ltd.)
- Document 7: EP 325755 A1 (Kyowa Hakko Kogyo Co., Ltd.)
- Document 8: JP 9-40662 A (Kyowa Hakko Kogyo Co., Ltd.)
- Document 9: JP 2001-324495 A (Kobayashi Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.)
- Document 10: Michinori Kubo et al., Yakugaku Zasshi, 1997, 117 (4), pp. 193-201

### International application No.

PCT/IB2003/003475

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing Continuation of Box No. 1, item 2: With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this report was established on the basis that of: type of material a sequence listing table(s) related to the sequence listing format of material in written format in computer readable form time of filing/furnishing contained in the international application as filed filed together with the international application in computer readable form furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purpose of search and/or examination received by this Authority as an amendment\* on In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished. Additional comments:

If item 4 in Box No. I applies, the listing and /or table(s) related thereto, which form part of the basis of the report, may be marked superseded".

Claims 1-3, 12, 15, 16 and 20-22

It is known from Document 1 that administration of an efficacious quantity of a GPR4 antagonist is efficacious in the management of atopic dermatitis, and that SPC contributes to atopic dermatitis, causing exacerbation. Comparing the inventions set forth in claims 1-3, 12, 15, 16 and 20-22 with the disclosures in document 1 at this point, they differ in that the disease to which the former apply is itching whereas in the latter case it is atopic dermatitis, in that the former are restricted to specific agonists such as amino acid sequences which are recognized by an antibody whereas in the latter case there is no restriction, and in that the former claim the use of animals in a method for screening therapeutic agents whereas the latter discloses a system using cultured cells.

However, as document 2 also indicates, it is well known in the art that itching is a typical symptom of atopic dermatitis and, therefore, a person skilled in the art would not need special creative skill to use a GPR4 agonist, which is claimed to be efficacious against atopic dermatitis, in the management of itching.

Similarly, as regards the restriction to specific agonists, the entire amino acid sequence of GPR4 has been determined, and it is also known from documents 3 to 5 that antibodies and the like can be selected as antagonists thereof. Therefore, selection thereof is merely a suitable option available to a person skilled in the art.

Furthermore, a person skilled in the art would naturally recognize that SPC, which contributes to the worsening of atopic dermatitis, will also contribute to the worsening of itching; and as disclosed in documents 9 and 10, methods for screening constituents useful for the management of itching are known which include a step of

subcutaneous or intradermal administration into an animal of a substance which induces scratching behaviour, a step of subcutaneous or intradermal administration into the animal of the test compound, a step of measuring the number of occurrences of scratching behaviour, a step of comparing the number of occurrences of scratching behaviour with and without the test compound, and a step of selecting substances which decrease the number of occurrences of scratching behaviour. Given this, a person skilled in the art would not require special inventive skill to use SPC as the substance inducing scratching behaviour in a method disclosed in document 9 or 10, to give a specific method for screening agents for treating itching.

Therefore, the inventions set forth in claims 1-3, 12, 15, 16 and 20-22 do not involve an inventive step in the light of the disclosures in documents 1 to 5, 9 and 10.

## Claims 4-11 and 14

Documents 6 to 8 disclose tricyclic compounds useful as medicaments.

However, these compounds all differ in chemical structure from the compounds described in these claims; moreover, they do not share a specific application, and they are not known to be especially associated with GPR4. Therefore, it cannot be said that a person skilled in the art could easily deduce the inventions set forth in these claims from the disclosures in these documents.

The inventions set forth in claims 4-11 and 14 thus involve an inventive step relative to documents 1 to 10.

# This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

# BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

J	Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:		
	BLACK BORDERS		
	☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES		
	☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING		
	BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING		
	☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES		
	☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS		
	GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS		
	☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT		
	☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY		
	OTHER:		

# IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.